

Sonication of thalamic circuits changes brain oscillations during non-stimulus conditions in a way analogous to changes in anesthetic level

¹Department of Radiology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA ²Department of Bioengineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA ³Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA ⁴Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

Introduction

Transcranial Ultrasound Stimulation (TUS) can be focused through the intact skull to target deep regions of the brain. It has been shown that the level of arousal in anesthetized animals can be changed through TUS stimulation of the thalamus (Yoo et al., 2011). To investigate the effect of sonication and brain anesthetic level in a large animal model, we analyzed the spontaneous EEG activity of the frontal cortex during interleaved non-stimulus conditions during the TUS of lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) and Non-LGN control sonication.

Methods

Male sheep (N=4) were anesthetized with tiletamine and zolazepam at 4 mg/kg, intramuscularly. The anesthesia was maintained with a combination of isoflurane (less than 1%) delivered continuously by endo-tracheal intubation and telazol delivered by intravenous infusion. Platinum monopolar 30-gauge subdermal 10-mm long needle electrodes were implanted subdermally on the head positioned to overlie the frontal and occipital cortices. Animals were positioned in a 3T MRI scanner with an MR-compatible ultrasound transducer (ExAblate 2100, Insightec Ltd) coupled to the skin. The LGN on one side was identified with T2-weighted MRI. MR acoustic radiation force imaging (MR-ARFI) was used to confirm focal sonication (in a position away from the experimental target).



Focal Spot Targeting and Verification

Figure 1. Sagittal (A) and frontal (B) MR image showing the TUS focal spot at non-LGN control location. A T2-weighted axial targeting MRI image (C) indicates the desired focal spot at a control location, and the MR-ARFI image (D) verified that the focal spot at control was at the desired location

Transducer Placement

Morteza Mohammadjavadi¹, Ryan T.Ash⁴, Pooja Gaur¹, Yamil Saenz¹, Kim Butts Pauly^{1,2,3}

Stimulation Paradigm

Figure 2. Experimental paradigm. Each 20-minute block (F) was split into 20 sections, each with four 15s conditions consisting of 1) no stimulus, no TUS, 2) light stimulus only, 3) TUS-only, and 4) light stimulus-plus-TUS. Only non-stimulus condition (green highlighted) was analyzed for the results.

Multi-taper spectrograms were computed using 10 s periods of spontaneous activity within each 20 minute block of sonication recorded from the frontal midline electrode. The trials from each block were then concatenated into a single 200-second epoch data for timefrequency decomposition. To quantify the power shift in the spectrum, a power spectrum-based parameter (PFS) was derived to compare the logarithmic ratio of high-frequency components (40 to 47 Hz) with the total (1 to 47 Hz) band. This method has been compared to the Bispectral (BIS) index, a quantified EEG index to monitor the level of anesthesia in the operating room, and showed similar performance (Miller et al., 2004).

Figure 4. Mean blood pressure (MBP) and heart rate (HR) recorded from 4 animals during LGN and control spot sonication. This figure demonstrates that there is no significance difference between the two conditions.

Conclusion

These results suggest that TUS can be non-invasively delivered to the thalamic circuits of the deep structures in the brain and causes a shift in the EEG power spectra that analogous to that observed during arousal from general anesthesia. This suggests that TUS of thalamus can affect brain anesthetics level corroborating the result reported in (Yoo et., al 2011)

- Gary Glover
- Karla Epperson
- Kevin Epperson

Results

The results from quantifying spectral analysis of EEG suggest that sonicating thalamus causes a shift in visual spontaneous EEG power analogous to the one from awakening from anesthesia, vital sign without any changes in measurements (MBP, HR).

Figure 3. Analysis of ongoing EEG activity prior to and after anesthesia during sonication of LGN and a non-LGN control location. A typical spectrogram computed from an awake animal before and after IV injection of Telazol (A). Changes in the PFS index in the awake state and during induction of anesthesia calculated from spectrogram in A (B). Representative spectrogram computed from concatenating the non-stimulus conditions during first LGN and non-LGN control spot sonication (C). Note that the first 20-minutes of LGN sonication is not immediately followed by the control sonication but here the spectra are placed next to each other for visualization. (D) Two timepoints in the absence of FUS are plotted on the left, while four timepoints are plotted in the presence of FUS on the right. Sonication to the LGN (a higher PFS index) demonstrate the animal is in a more "awake" state, compared to the sonication control.

Funding sources:

• NIH R01 MH111825 • NIH R01 NS112152

