



Harmonizing Brain Rhythms: control conditions for rhythmic TMS entrainment

Isabelle Woods Rogan, Runhao Lu & Alexandra Woolgar | Isabelle.WoodsRogan@mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk | Runhao.Lu@mrc-cbu.am.ac.uk MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, University of Cambridge. 15 Chaucer Road, Cambridge, CB2 7EF

Introduction	EEG Data	Behavioural Data	
This study evaluates a sham coil and arhythmic TMS as controls for rTMS entrainment studies.	To show that control conditions are entraining alpha less than rTMS we want to see:	Questionnaire Data:	



Finding a persuasive and inert control for TMS has proven difficult. Our two criteria for a good placebo were:

Do control conditions entrain alpha less than rTMS

How well do the different control conditions imitate the experience of TMS?

One control option we were evaluating is the DuoMAG 70BFP, designed to mimic peripheral nerve stimulation without the central peak of magnetic flux change.



- Left time-frequency plots: less of a red band in the alpha band frequencies (dotted lines).
- **Right time-frequency difference plots:** a red band inbetween the dotted lines, to show more alpha in rTMS than the control.
- **Topographical comparison plots:** more red on the right (stimulated) hemisphere.

Alpha power plots:



pulses?

(/10)

g

(/10)

e 6-

How annoying were the TMS How loud were the TMS pulses?



Summary table for questionnaire data:

	aTMS	shamTMS	msshamTMS
Matched subjective	✔ (BF=0.262)	✓ (BF=0.278)	? (BF=0.604)
sound			
Matched	✔ (BF=0.271)	✔ (BF=0.323)	× (BF=8.732)
"painfulness"			
Matched	? (BF=0.468)	× (BF=7.516)	✓ (BF=0.276)
"twitchiness"			
Matched	? (BF=0.578)	× (BF=11.550)	? (BF=0.578)
persuasiveness			

Magnetic flux change and sound intensity produced by active (red) and sham (blue) coils

Given differences in sound, we used both stimulation matched and sound matched sham conditions.

	aTMS	shamTMS	msshamTMS
Matched pulse pattern	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
Real TMS pulses	\checkmark	×	×
Matched stimulator output	\checkmark	\checkmark	×
Matched sound produced	\checkmark	×	\checkmark

Methods

We recorded concurrent TMS-EEG, using the Axilium cobot and the participant's MRI scan to direct TMS pulses to the target region (Intra Parietal

Alpha ITPC plots:



Qualitative data summary:

- 11/15 participants ranked the 'strongest' feeling condition as real
- 3/15 participants mentioned some conditions as being 'twitchier' and some conditions as being 'more painful', 3/3 assumed that the twitchier conditions were real.
- 2/15 participants mentioned a change in ulletrhythm between conditions, 1/15 correctly identified the aTMS rhythm as the difference.

Sham guess summary





During each trial, EEG data was collected, after each trial we ran a questionnaire. These answered:

Do control conditions entrain alpha less than rTMS

Compared power and ITPC between conditions

How well do the different control conditions imitate the experience of TMS?

- 10-rank questions about TMS experience
- Qualitative data about TMS experience
- Guess question on which trials were placebo
- The EEG data supports that aTMS and msshamTMS entrains lower alpha power and ITPC than rTMS, while shamTMS entrains alpha to a similar level.

Conclusion

- This study supports the use of aTMS, and lacksquarepossibly the DuoMAG 70BFP coil when sound matched, as a control condition for rTMS entrainment studies.
- Using the DuoMAG 70BFP coil when not ulletsound matched is not supported by this paper, given the louder sound, higher entrainment, and possible brain activation induced by the periphery of the coil.