Authors: Carolina Kanig^{1,2}, Kilian Prei¹, Mirja Osnabrügge^{1,2}, Berthold Langguth¹, Wolfgang Mack², Mohamed Abdelnaim¹, Martin ScheckImann¹, Stefan Schoisswohl^{1,2}

1 Universität der Bundeswehr München, Faculty of Human Sciences, Department of Psychology, Germany 2 Univeristy of Regensburg, Faculty of Human Sciences, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Germany

Limited evidence for validity and reliability of non-navigated low and high frequency rTMS over the motor cortex

Reliability of 1 and 20 Hz rTMS in standard clinical application is low to moderate



Zentrum für Digitalisierungs- und Technologieforschung der Bundeswehr

This research is funded by dtec.bw – Digitalization and Technology Research Center of the Bundeswehr which we greatfully acknowledge [project MEXT].

medbo®

der Bundeswehr Universität (A Universität

Introduction



- Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is a common non-invasive brain stimulation technique that evokes neuromodulatory effects and changes cortical excitability.
- Cortical excitability is measured via motor evoked potentials (MEPs) elicited via single pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) of the primary motor cortex (M1) (Rossini et al., 2015).
- For low frequency protocols these effects are assumed to be inhibitory and for high frequency protocols excitatory (lofi-hife heuristic) (Maeda et al., 2000b; Fitzgerald et al., 2006; Cohen et al., 2010; Thut and Pascual-Leone, 2010; Beynel et al., 2020).
- Upcoming evidence highlights the inter- and intra-subject variability (Ridding and Ziemann, 2010; Pell et al., 2011; Guerra et al., 2020b) and further questions the reliability of rTMS-induced changes on cortical excitability.

Methods

In 30 healthy participants, we administered 1 and 20 Hz rTMS on M1 in alternated order and applied TMS before and after (figure 1) during which we derived MEPs from the first dorsal interosseus.

pre- expe	eriment	day 1	day 2	day 3	day 4	time
			RMT			~ 3 min
		pre: 132 MEPs				~ 22 min
rTMS	n = 15 $n = 15$ $n = 15$	1 Hz continuous	20 Hz intervals	1 Hz continuous	20 Hz intervals	22 - 30 min
1800 pulses		20 Hz intervals	1 Hz continuous	20 Hz intervals	1 Hz continuous	
		post: 132 MEPs Q1-Q2-Q3-Q4				↓ ~ 22 min
	-	within a week				

Figure 2. Reliability measures intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and Pearson's *r* between sessions 1 and 2 from the whole sample.

Cohen, D. A., Freitas, C., Tormos, J. M., Oberman, L., Eldaief, M., and Pascual-Leone, A. (2010). Enhancing plasticity through repeated rTMS sessions: the benefits of a night of sleep. Clin. Neurophysiol. Off. J. Int. Fed. Clin. Neurophysiol. 121, 2159-2164.

Universitätsklinikum

Regensburg

Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychol. Bull. 112, 155–159.

Fitzgerald, P. B., Fountain, S., and Daskalakis, Z. J. (2006). A comprehensive review of the effects of rTMS on motor cortical excitability and inhibition. Clin. Neurophysiol. Off. J. Int. Fed. Clin. Neurophysiol. 117, 2584–2596.

Guerra, A., López-Alonso, V., Cheeran, B., and Suppa, A. (2020b). Variability in non-invasive brain stimulation studies: Reasons and results. Neurosci. Lett. 719, 133330.

Koo, T. K., & Li, M. Y. (2016). A guideline of selecting and reporting

- **Figure 1.** Study procedure. The pre-experiment included a resting motor threshold (RMT) determination. Each day of the main experiment comprised RMT determination, a premeasurement of cortical excitability with 132 motor evoked potentials (MEPs), a protocol of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) (1800 pulses, 1 Hz or 20 Hz) and a post-measurement identical to the pre-measurement. To analyze the time-course after rTMS, we divided the 132 MEPs post-measurement up into guarters of 33 MEPs (Q1-Q4).
- The lofi-hife heuristic was assessed by ANOVAs at group level and by frequency statistics of rTMS-induced changes at single-subject level. Reliability at group level was calculated by using two-way mixed effect intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) with general agreement and Pearson's correlation coefficient (r).

Results

The heuristic was not evident at group level (figure 3). At single-subject level four participants responded with heuristic-conform changes, i.e., only concomitant decreases for both 1 Hz and increases for both 20 Hz sessions. ICCs and r for the whole sample were low to moderate (figure 2). Within subgroups of less confounded measures we found good r values for 20 Hz rTMS (figure 4).

Error bars represent 95% confidence interval. Colors represent the interpretation of Koo and Li (2016) for ICC and Cohen (1992) for r.



Figure 3. "Frequency" x " within-session time" interaction vs. expectation. Error bars represent standard error. M = Mean.



intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. Journal of chiropractic medicine, 15(2), 155-163.

Maeda, F., Keenan, J. P., Tormos, J. M., Topka, H., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2000). Modulation of corticospinal excitability by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Clinical* neurophysiology, 111(5), 800-805.

Pell, G. S., Roth, Y., and Zangen, A. (2011). Modulation of cortical excitability induced by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation: influence of timing and geometrical parameters and underlying mechanisms. Prog. Neurobiol. 93, 59-98.

Ridding, M. C., and Ziemann, U. (2010). Determinants of the induction of cortical plasticity by non-invasive brain stimulation in healthy subjects. J. Physiol. 588, 2291–2304.

Rossini, P. M., Burke, D., Chen, R., Cohen, L. G., Daskalakis, Z., Di Iorio, R., et al. (2015). Non-invasive electrical and magnetic stimulation of the brain, spinal cord, roots and peripheral nerves: Basic principles and procedures for routine clinical and research application. An updated report from an I.F.C.N. Committee. Clin. Neurophysiol. Off. J. Int. Fed. Clin. Neurophysiol. 126, 1071–1107.

Discussion

- Results question the validity of the lofi-hife heuristic and show insufficient testretest reliability for 1 and 20 Hz rTMS under non-navigated conditions.
- Methodological and applicational improvements for the usage of rTMS in research and clinical settings might help to establish a more adequate estimation of validity and reliability of non-invasive brain stimulation.

Figure 4. Reliability measure ICC between sessions 1 and 2 from the subsample of participants with at least 75% valid MEPs (n = 9). Error bars represent 95% confidence interval. Asterisks indicate significance after FDR correction.

@Su_Cardina

Thut, G., and Pascual-Leone, A. (2010). A review of combined TMS-EEG studies to characterize lasting effects of repetitive TMS and assess their usefulness in cognitive and clinical neuroscience. Brain Topogr. 22, 219–232.

modular extended transcranial magnetic stimulation

dtecbw.de/home/forschung/unibw-m/projekt-mext

0 /

carolina.kanig@unibw.de

